

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/485,596	10/	/16/2000	Klaus Huber	2345/113	6178
26646 7	590	11/08/2004		EXAM	INER
KENYON &		DEPPE, BE	DEPPE, BETSY LEE		
ONE BROAD	WAY				
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				2637	

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/485,596	HUBER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Betsy L. Deppe	2637				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed or will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u>	ugust 2004.					
		s action is non-final.					
3)⊠	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•				
5)⊠ 6)□ 7)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 13-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ✓ Claim(s) 13-21 and 23 is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) 22 is/are objected to. ✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 August 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1	a) accepted or b) ⊠ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen							
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on August 5, 2005. Figures 6 and 7 of these drawings are not approved. Figure 6 was added to show the modulating step recited in claim 19. However, the placement of the modulating step in Figure 6 is inconsistent with the accepted or common occurrence of the modulation step and therefore, Figure 6 does not appear to make sense. Usually, the modulating step occurs after coding and before transmission of the signal to the receiver. Therefore, step 33 should occur between steps 30 and 31 in Figure 6.

Although Figure 7 was added to show the features of claim 22, claim 22 is an apparatus claim whereas Figure 7 shows the steps of a method claim. Although Figure 7 appears to show the limitations of claim 22, it does not show the recited limitations. Furthermore, the method shown in Figure 7 is inconsistent with the circuits shown in Figures 3 and 4. Below, the Examiner has proposed changes to claim 22 to overcome the objection to under 37 CFR 1.83(a).

Any changes that are made to Figures 6 and 7 must also be reflected in the two new paragraphs that were added after the first paragraph on page 8.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the Brief Description of the Drawings do not includes Figures 6 and 7 that were added in the

Art Unit: 2637

amendment. The applicant is reminded that the two new paragraphs that were added after the first paragraph on page 8 must correspond to any changes to Figures 6 and 7 in response to the Examiner's objections to the drawings (see above). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 6, "a respective second" should be "the respective first" in order to be consistent with Figures 3 and/or 4. Figures 3 and 4 show only a single discriminator that is connected to a first and second integrator. Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 13-21 and 23 are allowed.

Conclusion

5. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters: objections to the drawings, specification and claim.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Application/Control Number: 09/485,596 Page 4

Art Unit: 2637

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betsy L. Deppe whose telephone number is (571) 272-3054. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Thursday (8:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272 - 2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Betsy L. Deppe Primary Examiner Art Unit 2637